

DFRC Request for Environmental Impact Analysis

Dryden Flight Research Center

			DFRC (DFRC Control Number: 07-07			
Instructions: Section I to be comple	ted by Proponent; Se	ctions II and III to be completed by the Safety,	 Health &	07-07			
Environmental Office a	s necessary. Referen	nce appropriate item number(s).					
	SECTION I -	PROPONENT INFORMATION					
To: Environmental Officer Safety, Health & Environmental		Proponent organization, functional address syn	nbol) 2	a. Tele 337	•	No.:	
3. Title of Proposed Action/Start Date: Construct new Consolidated Information Technology Center (adjacent to DAF)				4/11/2007			
		take this action. Continue in remarks if necess	ary)				
missions requirements with a state need for data system reliability, pro	of the art Consolidate vide for future upgrad	a Analysis Facility (DAF) that does not meet cured Information Technology Center (CITC). The es, and improve the housing of current archived eral buildings and warehouses into a centrally lo	CITC would d historical d	improve lata. Ad	Dryde		
5. Description of Proposed Action and	Alternatives: (DOPA	A) (Provide sufficient details for evaluation o the	total action	n.)			
5,500 sq ft of office space, 5,000 sq 6,800 sq ft of public use and circula minimize required infrastructure up	ղ ft data center, 1,200 tion spaces. The CIT grades and leverage ր CITC building to prov	construct a two story building, approximately 19 sq ft of warehousing and storage space, 700 sr C would be constructed adjacent to the existing prior year Construction of Facility projects. Phaide additional office space. Phase III: Renovatin 19.	q ft of electri g DAF, buildi se II: Constr	cal-mec ing 4838 uct a tw	hanical 3, to o story	l, and	
6. Proponent (Name):	Proponent (Name):			6b. Date:			
Roy Tryon Robert L. Myon				6/13/2001			
SECTION II: PRELIMINARY ENVIRO environmental effects and mitigations.	NMENTAL ANALYSIS (+ = pos. effect; 0 = r	(Check appropriate box and describe potention of effect; - = neg. effect; U = Unknown effect)	al +	0	-	U	
7. Noise (Source, intensity, duration, etc.)					X		
8. Air Quality (Emissions, attainment status, state implementation plan, etc.)				- Andrews	Х		
9. Water Resources (Quality, quanity, source, etc.)				х			
10. Safety & Occupational Health (Asbestos/radiation/chemical exposure, explosives safe qty-distance, etc.)			.)		X		
11. Hazardous Materials/Waste (Use, storage, generation, solid waste, etc.)					X		
12. Biological Resources (Wetlands, floodplains, flora, fauna, etc.)				Х			
13. Cultural Resources (Native American burial sites, archeological, historical, etc.)				x			
14. Geology and Soils (Topography, minerals, geothermal, Superfund Program, seismicity, etc.)				X	<u> </u>		
15. Socioeconomic (Employment/population projections, school and local fiscal impacts, etc.)			X			<u> </u>	
16. Other (Potential impacts not addressed above.)			X				
	ECTION III - ENVIRON	MENTAL ANALYSIS DETERMINATION					
17. x Proposed action qualities for Proposed action does not qu	-	ther environmental analysis is required.	; or				
18. Environmental Office Certification	avironmental Office Certification (Name): 18a Signature 18a Signature			18b. Date:			

19. Remarks: (Use additional sheets as necessary).	·
Page 3 of 3, DRFC #07-07	
Section 19 Continued.	
PROJECT MODIFICATIONS: The Project Manager must evaluate proposed changes in project scope to see if in significant deviations from the project description outlined in this Record of Environmental Consideration. No Morgan of Code SH at extension 3976 concerning significant changes in project activites to allow for timely ana potential environmental impacts.	otify Dan
MITIGATION MEASURES: No significant impacts are identified; therefore, no mitigation measures are require	d.
CUMULATIVE IMPACT: This project is part of a larger program with the overall objective of constructing a Col Information Technology Center. There will be follow-on projects within the next three years but the cumulative i expected to be minimal or none.	
CONCLUSION: Based on the above environmental impact analysis it is concluded that this is a categorically e action [NPR 8580.1 Chapter 4, NASA 4.2.1(d) Minor construction of new facilities, including rehabilitation, mode and repair.] that does not substantially impact the human environment; therefore, neither an EA nor an EIS is re-	ification,
	1
	, a l